AGENDA MANAGEMENT SHEET

| Name of Committee Date of Committee | Sc | Children And Young People Overview And Scrutiny Committee 8th September 2010 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report Title | | · | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Report Title | VV | ork Programme 2010-11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary | wo and the | e Committee is asked to consider the items it ruld wish to include in its future work programme d any recommendations it would wish to make to e Overview and Scrutiny Board for task and finish pups. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For further information please contact: | De Ma Te | ne Pollard mocratic Services inager I: 01926 412565 epollard@warwickshire.gov.uk | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Would the recommended decision be contrary to the Budget and Policy Framework? | No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Background papers | No | ne | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CONSULTATION ALREADY | UNDE | ERTAKEN:- Details to be specified | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Committees | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Member(s) | X | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Elected Members | X | Councillors: June Tandy, Carolyn Robbins, and Peter Balaam | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cabinet Member | X | For information Councillor Heather Timms | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chief Executive | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legal | X | Jane Pollard | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Finance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Strategic Directors | X | Marion Davis, Strategic Director of Children Young People and Families | | | | | | | | | | | |
| District Councils | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Health Authority | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Police | | |
| Other Bodies/Individuals | X | Geoff King (CYPF), Bob Hooper (CYPF) |
| FINAL DECISION YES | | |
| SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS: | | Details to be specified |
| Further consideration by this Committee | | |
| To Council | | |
| To Cabinet | | |
| To an O & S Committee | | |
| To an Area Committee | | |
| Further Consultation | | |



Agenda No

Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee - 8th September 2010.

Work Programme 2010-11

Report of the Strategic Director Customers, Workforce and Governance

Recommendation

That the Committee

- (1) Considers the draft work programme at Appendix 1 and amends as appropriate.
- (2) that the Committee recommends any task and finish groups to the Board as appropriate

1. Introduction

- 1.1 On 29 June 2010 the Council agreed new arrangements for the overview and scrutiny function. It created an Overview and Scrutiny Board (a formal overview and scrutiny committee) with specific responsibility for the overall management of the overview and scrutiny work programme and in particular the commissioning and appointments (including their chairs) to task and finish groups to carry out specific reviews. Alongside the Board are 3 overview and scrutiny committees i.e. Adult Social Care and Health; Children and Young People and Communities. These committees will largely govern their own business and may suggest to the Board topics which may be suitable for task and finish groups. Under the new arrangements the new bodies will sit on a bi-monthly cycle and quarterly performance reports will in future go to the Audit and Standards Committee.
- 1.2 The Overview and Scrutiny Board will sit last in each bi-monthly cycle so that it can pick up any suggestions for task and finish groups from all the committees and commission task and finish groups where appropriate and resources permit. Committees should be prepared to prioritise their suggestions so that important issues are dealt with.

2. Draft Work Programme and Task and Finish Groups

2.1. Following discussion with the Chair and party spokespersons a draft work programme for the Committee is attached for the committee to consider (Appendix 1) and proposals for two proposed task and finish groups which the



Committee may wish to recommend to the next meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Board on 5th October 2010. (Appendix 2). The criteria for choosing issues for review set out in the Overview and Scrutiny strategy are attached as Appendix 3.

- 2.2 The Chair and party spokespersons have also suggested that the Committee may wish to consider setting aside a day to hold a select committee to look at 'Educational Attainment/Achievement. The alternative would be to put forward a recommendation for a task and finish group to the Overview and Scrutiny Board. Whatever the methodology adopted the aim of any scrutiny should be to improve potential outcomes for people in Warwickshire. Therefore when considering any topic for scrutiny members should always ask themselves how a scrutiny review of the topic could add value.
- 2.3 The topic is obviously very broad and members need to consider what particular aspect(s) would most benefit from a scrutiny review. This would determine the list of invitees to any select committee.
- 2.4 For example Educational Attainment/Achievement can be broken down into age ranges i.e. Early Years Foundation: Primary or Secondary and or across the age range by themes e.g. educational inequalities, vulnerable groups (to be defined) etc.

3. Dates of Future Meetings

3.1 The proposed dates for future meetings of the Committee in the current financial year are

10am 20th October 2010 10am 8th December 2010 10am 2nd February 2011

DAVID CARTER Strategic Director Customers, Workforce and Governance

Shire Hall Warwick

25 August 2010



Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee Work Programme for 2010-11

| | | | | REPORT TYPE | | | | TO CC PRIOR | ATE | Cross cutting themes/ LAA | |
|------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|--|---|--|------------------------------|
| MEETING DATE | ITEM AND RESPONSIBLE OFFICER | OBJECTIVE OF SCRUTINY | Performance Management | Holding Executive to Account | Policy Review/Development | Overview | Raising Levels of Educational Attainment | Maximising independence for older people and adults with disabilities. | Pursuing a Sustainable Environment and Economy | Protecting the Community and making Warwickshire a safer place to live | Cross cutting themes/ LAA |
| 8 th Sept 2010 | Public Question Time | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| | Questions to the Portfolio Holder | Committee to put questions to the Portfolio Holder | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| | Overview of new ways of working for the CYPF Directorate | Marion Davis - presentation | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Final Report of the Exclusions Task and Finish Group –Chair Cllr Robbins | To consider the report and recommendations from the Exclusions Task and Finish Group | √ | | √ | √ | High | | | | |
| | Final Report of the Safeguarding Task and Finish Group –Chair Cllr Ross | To consider the report and recommendations from the Safeguarding Task and Finish Group | √ | | | √ | High | | | | |
| | Work Programme | To consider the future work programme of the Committee and any proposed task and finish groups | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | REPORT TYPE | | | | TO CC PRIOR | Cross cutting themes/ LAA | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|--|---|--|------------------------------|
| MEETING DATE | ITEM AND RESPONSIBLE OFFICER | OBJECTIVE OF SCRUTINY | Performance Management | Holding Executive to Account | Policy Review/Development | Overview | Raising Levels of Educational Attainment | Maximising independence for older people and adults with disabilities. | Pursuing a Sustainable Environment and Economy | Protecting the Community and making Warwickshire a safer place to live | Cross cutting themes/ LAA |
| 20 th October 2010 | Free School Meals | Report requested at the meeting on 01-12-09. | ✓ | | | | High | | | | Narrowing the Gaps |
| | Warwickshire, Solihull, Coventry Total Place Pilot | To review the outcomes of the total place pilot and proposals for the future in relation to place based budgeting. | | √ | √ | √ | High | | | | |
| 8 th Dec 2010 | Questions to the Portfolio Holder | Committee to put questions to the Portfolio Holder | | ✓ | | | High | | | | |
| | Scrutiny of Bullying | 12 month update requested by Members at the meeting on 01-12-09 (Rachel Evans) to include cyber bullying | ✓ | | | | Med | | | | |
| 2 nd February 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposal for Task and Finish Group | Post 16 Transport | To overview the implementation and implications of changes to Post 16 Transport and proposals for the future funding of the service. | ✓ | ✓ | √ | | High | | | | Narrowing the Gaps |
| Proposal for Task and Finish Group | Warwickshire Pupil Reintegration Unit (PRU) | To review whether the Warwickshire Pupil Reintegration Unit secures value for money in the light of the recent Ofsted report. | √ | √ | √ | | High | | | | Narrowing the Gaps |



Proposed Scrutiny Review Outline

| Review Topic (Name of review) | Warwickshire Pupil Reintegration Unit | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Panel/Working Group etc – Members | TBA | | | | | | |
| Key Officer Contact | TBA | | | | | | |
| Relevant Portfolio Holder(s) | Councillor Heather Timms | | | | | | |
| Relevant Corporate/LAA Priorities/Targets | Raising educational attainment and improving the lives of children, young people and families | | | | | | |
| Timing Issues | Start Select Committee meeting in November/December 2010 | | | | | | |
| Type of Review | Select committee style | | | | | | |
| Resource Estimate | This review if commissioned is likely to take somewhere between 1-2 months to complete the review i.e. up to having an agreed final report ready for submission to committee,. A provisional estimate of scrutiny officer support is between 90 to 120 hours or 15 -20 days depending on the actual methodology used by the review. This assumes a review planning meeting, select committee, follow up meeting to develop conclusions and recommendations, includes arrangements for meetings, research time, liaison and contact with witnesses and write up of evidence and the final report. | | | | | | |
| Rationale (Key issues and/or reason for doing the review) | To review whether the Warwickshire Pupil Reintegration Unit secures value for money in the light of the recent Ofsted report which has assessed the service as inadequate. Warwickshire County Council currently spends around £4M per annum on the PRU, considerably more than other shire counties. To review whether the PRU is making progress towards providing pupils at the PRU with their entitlement and a proper educational experience, neither of which they are currently receiving, To review whether the PRU is making progress towards reintegrating pupils successfully into mainstream schooling more quickly than in recent years. | | | | | | |
| Objectives of Review (Specify exactly what the review should achieve) | Understanding why the Ofsted has rated the PRU as inadequate and how the money has been spent. What plans/actions have/are being made to address the issues raised by the Ofsted report and are they robust enough? Are there other service models which could provide a better educational experience for 'PRU pupils' and at the same time secure better value for money in the future? | | | | | | |



| | Include |
|---|---|
| Scope of the Topic | The following is included in the scope of the review: • TBC |
| (What is specifically to be included/excluded) | Excluded The following falls outside the scope of the review: • TBC |
| Indicators of Success - Outputs (What factors would tell you what a good review should look like?) | Recommendations accepted and implemented to deliver improvements |
| Indicators of Success - Outcomes (What are the potential outcomes of the review e.g. service improvements, policy change, etc?) | Recognisable improvements in the provision of services More cost effective model of service delivery Reassure public/promote confidence |
| Other Work Being Undertaken (What other work is currently being undertaken in relation to this topic, and any appropriate timescales and deadlines for that work) | |



Proposed Scrutiny Review Outline

| Review Topic (Name of review) | Post 16 Transport |
|--|--|
| Panel/Working Group etc – Members | TBA |
| Key Officer Contact | TBA |
| Relevant Portfolio Holder(s) | Cllr Heather Timms |
| Relevant Corporate/LAA Priorities/Targets | Raising educational attainment and improving the lives of children, young people and families |
| Timing Issues | Start no earlier than January 2011 to take account of issues arising from the Government Spending Review |
| Type of Review | Select committee style |
| Resource Estimate | This review if commissioned is likely to take somewhere between 1-2 months to complete the review i.e. up to having an agreed final report ready for submission to committee,. A provisional estimate of scrutiny officer support is between 90 to 120 hours or 15 -20 days depending on the actual methodology used by the review. This assumes a review planning meeting, select committee, meeting to develop conclusions and recommendations, includes arrangements for meetings, research time, liaison and contact with witnesses and write up of evidence and the final report. |
| Rationale (Key issues and/or reason for doing the review) | The medium term savings plan agreed as part of the 2010/11 revenue budget resolutions assumes savings over 3 years of £1.3M [£379000 in 2010/11, £550,000 in 2011/12 and £371,000 in 2012/13]. The Children Young People and families OSC received a report on 23 June 2010 on the policy changes necessary to achieve the savings target. Concern was expressed about the impact on the education and achievement of children and young people, particularly in rural areas, and the consequent impact on providers. The focus of the review is to assess the impact of the changes already made, whether further changes would be required to respond to the Spending Review and the potential impact on the education and achievement of children and young people. |



| Objectives of Review (Specify exactly what the review should achieve) | Have the proposed changes to Post 16 Transport been implemented Are further changes proposed Identify any impact of changes already made on the attainment/education children and young people Whether the proposed savings agreed as part of the 2010/11 budget are on track Consider proposals for the future funding of the service. What are the options for achieving any savings targets How do the options impact on the education and attainment of children and young people Assess the implications and impact of any future service changes |
|---|---|
| Scope of the Topic (What is specifically to be included/excluded) | Include The following is included in the scope of the review: • TBC Excluded The following falls outside the scope of the review: • TBC |
| Indicators of Success - Outputs (What factors would tell you what a good review should look like?) | Recommendations accepted and implemented • |
| Indicators of Success - Outcomes (What are the potential outcomes of the review e.g. service improvements, policy change, etc?) | Savings targets achieved No discernable adverse impact on the education and attainment of children and young people. |
| Other Work Being Undertaken (What other work is currently being undertaken in relation to this topic, and any appropriate timescales and deadlines for that work) | |



The Council's overview and scrutiny strategy identifies the following as relevant when considering topics for scrutiny reviews

- Does this issue have a potential impact for significant section(s) of the population?
- Is it a matter of general public concern?
- Is the issue to be reviewed a key deliverable of a strategic and/or partnership plan?
- Is it a key performance area where the Council needs to improve?
- Is there a legislative requirement to undertake the review?

Secondly to ensure that reviews add value/ make a difference

- Are there adequate resources available to do the activity well?
- Is the overview and scrutiny activity timely?
- Is there a clear objective for scrutinising this topic?
- Is there evidence to support the need for overview and scrutiny?
- What are the likely benefits to the council and its customers?
- Are we likely to achieve a desired outcome?
- What are the potential risks

Reasons to reject Items for overview and scrutiny might include

- An issue is being examined elsewhere e.g. by the cabinet, working group, officer group, other body
- An issue was dealt with less than 2 years ago
- New legislation or guidance is expected within the next year
- There is no scope for overview and scrutiny to add value/ make a difference



Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee Work Programme for 2010-11

| | | | RE | PORT | ΤΥ | PE | LINE | TO CC PRIOR | | ATE | Cross cutting themes/ LAA |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|--|--|---|--|------------------------------|
| MEETING DATE | ITEM AND RESPONSIBLE OFFICER | OBJECTIVE OF SCRUTINY | Performance Management | Holding Executive to Account | Policy Review/Development | Overview | Raising Levels of Educational Attainment | Maximising independence for older people and adults with disabilities. | Pursuing a Sustainable Environment and Economy | Protecting the Community and making Warwickshire a safer place to live | Cross cutting themes/ LAA |
| 8 th Sept 2010 | Public Question Time | | | √ | | | | | | | |
| | Questions to the Portfolio Holder | Committee to put questions to the Portfolio Holder | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| | Overview of new ways of working for the CYPF Directorate | Marion Davis - presentation | | | | √ | | | | | |
| | Final Report of the Exclusions Task and Finish Group –Chair Cllr Robbins | To consider the report and recommendations from the Exclusions Task and Finish Group | √ | | ✓ | √ | High | | | | |
| | Final Report of the Safeguarding Task and Finish Group –Chair Cllr Ross | To consider the report and recommendations from the Safeguarding Task and Finish Group | ✓ | | | √ | Hlgh | | | | |
| | Work Programme | To consider the future work programme of the Committee and any proposed task and finish groups | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 th October 2010 | Free School Meals | Report requested at the meeting on 01- 12-09 | ✓ | | | | High | | | | Narrowing the Gaps |
| | Warwickshire, Solihull and Coventry Total Place Pilot | To review the outcomes of the total place pilot and proposals for the future in relation to place based budgeting. | | √ | √ | ✓ | High | | | | |



| | | | | | ГΤΥ | PΕ | LINK | TO CO | RATE | Cross cutting themes/ LAA | |
|---|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|--|---|--|------------------------------|
| MEETING DATE | ITEM AND RESPONSIBLE OFFICER | OBJECTIVE OF SCRUTINY | Performance Management | Holding Executive to Account | Policy Review/Development | Overview | Raising Levels of Educational Attainment | Maximising independence for older people and adults with disabilities. | Pursuing a Sustainable Environment and Economy | Protecting the Community and making Warwickshire a safer place to live | Cross cutting themes/ LAA |
| 8 th Dec 2010 | Questions to the Portfolio Holder | Committee to put questions to the Portfolio Holder | | ✓ | | | High | | | | |
| | Scrutiny of Bullying | 12 month update requested by Members at the meeting on 01-12-09 (Rachel Evans) | √ | | | | Med | | | | |
| 2 nd February 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dates to be fixed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposals for Task and Finish Groups | Post 16 Transport | To overview the implementation and implications of changes to Post 16 Transport and proposals for the future funding of the service. | ✓ | √ | √ | | High | | | | Narrowing the Gaps |
| | Warwickshire Pupil Reintegration Unit (PRU) | To review whether the Warwickshire Pupil Reintegration Unit secures value for money in the light of the recent Ofsted report. | √ | √ | √ | | High | | | | Narrowing the Gaps |



| Briefing | Lead Portfolio Holder | Key challenges for the next 12 months | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Notes | CY&F | for 1 September 2010 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

